Chapter IR:III

III. Retrieval Models

- Overview of Retrieval Models
- Boolean Retrieval
- Vector Space Model
- Binary Independence Model
- Okapi BM25
- □ Divergence From Randomness
- Latent Semantic Indexing
- Explicit Semantic Analysis
- □ Language Models
- Combining Evidence
- □ Learning to Rank

Boolean Retrieval

Retrieval Model $\mathcal{R} = \langle \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{Q}, \rho \rangle$ [Generic Model] [Boolean] [VSM] [BIM] [BM25] [LSI] [ESA] [LM]

Document representations D.

- \neg $T = \{t_1, \ldots, t_m\}$ is the set of *m* index terms (lemmatized or stemmed words).
- T are the atoms of a logical formula for d with operators \land , \lor , \neg , and brackets.
- $\mathbf{d} = (\bigwedge_{t \in d} t) \land \neg(\bigwedge_{t \notin d} t), \text{ where } \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{d}}(t) = 1 \text{ if } t \text{ occurs in } d, \text{ and } \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{d}}(t) = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$

Query representations Q.

 \neg q is a logical formula over T.

Relevance function ρ .

- $\rho(d,q) = \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{d} \to \mathbf{q}), \text{ where } \to \text{ is the logical implication.}$
- $\rho(d,q) = 1$ indicates relevance of *d* to *q*, and $\rho(d,q) = 0$ otherwise.
- $\exists R_q \subseteq D$ is the set of documents $d \in D$ relevant to q, i.e., with ho(d,q) = 1.
- $\neg \rho'(d,q) = P(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{d} \to \mathbf{q}) = 1) = P(\mathbf{d} \to \mathbf{q}) = P(q \mid d) \text{ relaxes relevance scoring}$

IR:III-18 Retrieval Models

Boolean Retrieval

Retrieval Model $\mathcal{R} = \langle \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{Q}, \rho \rangle$ [Generic Model] [Boolean] [VSM] [BIM] [BM25] [LSI] [ESA] [LM]

Document representations D.

- \Box $T = \{t_1, \ldots, t_m\}$ is the set of *m* index terms (lemmatized or stemmed words).
- □ *T* are the atoms of a logical formula for *d* with operators \land , \lor , \neg , and brackets.
- $\Box \quad \mathbf{d} = (\bigwedge_{t \in d} t) \land \neg(\bigwedge_{t \notin d} t), \text{ where } \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{d}}(t) = 1 \text{ if } t \text{ occurs in } d, \text{ and } \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{d}}(t) = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$

Query representations Q.

 \Box q is a logical formula over T.

Relevance function ρ .

- $\label{eq:relation} \square \ \rho(d,q) = \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{d} \to \mathbf{q}) \text{, where} \to \text{is the logical implication.}$
- $\label{eq:relation} \Box \ \ \rho(d,q) = 1 \ \text{indicates relevance of} \ d \ \text{to} \ q \text{, and} \ \rho(d,q) = 0 \ \text{otherwise}.$
- $\square \quad R_q \subseteq D \text{ is the set of documents } d \in D \text{ relevant to } q \text{, i.e., with } \rho(d,q) = 1.$
- $\label{eq:relation} \Box \ \ \rho'(d,q) = P(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{d} \to \mathbf{q}) = 1) = P(\mathbf{d} \to \mathbf{q}) = P(q \mid d) \text{ relaxes relevance scoring.}$

IR:III-19 Retrieval Models

Remarks:

 $\Box \quad \mathcal{I}: T \to \{0,1\} \text{ and } \mathcal{I}: \{\alpha \mid \alpha \text{ is a logical formula over } T\} \to \{0,1\} \text{ is the evaluation or interpretation function that assigns truth values to the atoms } T \text{ as well as to propositional formulas over them.}$

Boolean Retrieval

Relevance Function ρ



What query is illustrated?

Boolean Retrieval

Relevance Function ρ



What query is illustrated?

$$\mathbf{q} = t_1 \wedge (t_2 \vee \neg t_3) \equiv (t_1 \wedge \neg t_2 \wedge \neg t_3) \vee (t_1 \wedge t_2 \wedge \neg t_3) \vee (t_1 \wedge t_2 \wedge t_3)$$

IR:III-22 Retrieval Models

Boolean Retrieval Example

Document representation:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{d} = & \mathtt{chrysler} \wedge \mathtt{deal} \wedge \mathtt{usa} \\ & \wedge & \mathtt{china} \wedge \neg \mathtt{cat} \wedge \mathtt{sales} \\ & \wedge & \neg \mathtt{dog} \wedge \ldots \end{array}$

Query representation:

$$\mathbf{q} \;=\; \mathtt{usa} \wedge (\mathtt{dog} \lor \neg \mathtt{cat})$$

$$\equiv \ (\texttt{usa} \land \texttt{dog}) \lor (\texttt{usa} \land \neg\texttt{cat})$$

$$\equiv (usa \land \neg dog \land \neg cat) \lor (usa \land dog \land \neg cat) \lor (usa \land dog \land cat)$$

Relevance function:

 $\rho(d,q) = \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{d} \to \mathbf{q}) = 1, \text{ since } \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathtt{usa}) = 1, \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathtt{dog}) = 0, \text{ and } \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathtt{cat}) = 0.$

Remarks:

- \Box The symbol " \equiv " denotes "is logically equivalent with".
- □ What does logical equivalence mean?
- □ A Boolean query in disjunctive normal form can be answered straightforward using an inverted index in parallel for each conjunction.
- □ A Boolean query in canonical disjunctive normal form will retrieve each document only once.

IR:III-25 Retrieval Models

Boolean Retrieval

Query Refinement: "Searching by Numbers"

Best practice in Boolean retrieval: (re)formulate queries until the number of documents retrieved is manageable. Example: pages about President Lincoln.

- 1. lincoln Results: many pages about cars, places, people
- **2.** president \land lincoln

A result: "Ford Motor Company today announced that Darryl Hazel will succeed Brian Kelley as president of <u>Lincoln</u> Mercury."

- 3. president ∧ lincoln ∧ ¬automobile ∧ ¬car Not a result: "<u>President Lincoln</u>'s body departs Washington in a nine-<u>car</u> funeral train."
- 4. president ∧ lincoln ∧ ¬automobile ∧ biography ∧ life ∧ birthplace ∧ gettysburg Results: Ø
- 5. president ∧ lincoln ∧ ¬automobile ∧ (biography ∨ life ∨ birthplace ∨ gettysburg) A result: "President's Day – Holiday activities – crafts, mazes, word searches, ... 'The Life of Washington' Read the entire book online! Abraham Lincoln Research Site"



Boolean Retrieval

Discussion

Advantages:

- Precision: in principle, any subset of documents from a collection can be designated by a Boolean query
- □ as in data retrieval, other fields are possible (e.g., date, document type, etc.)
- □ simple, efficient implementation

Disadvantages:

- retrieval effectiveness depends entirely on the user
- □ cumbersome query formulation (e.g., expertise required)
- no possibility to weight query terms
- no ranking; binary relevance scoring is too restrictive for most practical purposes (exceptions: medical retrieval, patent retrieval, eDiscovery (law))
- □ the size of the result set is difficult to be controlled

Retrieval Model $\mathcal{R} = \langle \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{Q}, \rho \rangle$ [Generic Model] [Boolean] [VSM] [BIM] [BM25] [LSI] [ESA] [LM]

Document representations D.

T = {*t*₁,...,*t_m*} is the set of *m* index terms (word stems, without stop words). *T* is interpreted as set of dimensions of an *m*-dimensional vector space. *ω* : **D** × *T* → **R** is a term weighting function, quantifying term importance. **d** = (*w*₁,...,*w_m*)^{*T*}, where *w_i* = ω(**d**, *t_i*) is the term weight of the *i*-th term in *T*.

Query representations Q.

 $\mathbf{q} = (w_1, \dots, w_m)^T$, where $w_i = \omega(\mathbf{q}, t_i)$ is the term weight of the *i*-th term in T.

Relevance function ρ .

- Distance and similarity functions φ serve as relevance functions.
- $\rho(d,q) = \varphi(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{q}$, the scalar product of vectors \mathbf{d} and \mathbf{q} .
- \Box Normalizing d and q calculates cosine similarity.

Retrieval Model $\mathcal{R} = \langle \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{Q}, \rho \rangle$ [Generic Model] [Boolean] [VSM] [BIM] [BM25] [LSI] [ESA] [LM]

Document representations D.

 \Box $T = \{t_1, \ldots, t_m\}$ is the set of *m* index terms (word stems, without stop words).

- \Box T is interpreted as set of dimensions of an *m*-dimensional vector space.
- \square $\omega : \mathbf{D} \times T \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a term weighting function, quantifying term importance.
- \Box $\mathbf{d} = (w_1, \dots, w_m)^T$, where $w_i = \omega(\mathbf{d}, t_i)$ is the term weight of the *i*-th term in *T*.

Query representations Q.

 \square $\mathbf{q} = (w_1, \dots, w_m)^T$, where $w_i = \omega(\mathbf{q}, t_i)$ is the term weight of the *i*-th term in *T*.

Relevance function ρ .

- \Box Distance and similarity functions φ serve as relevance functions.
- $\Box \ \rho(d,q) = \varphi(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{q}, \text{ the scalar product of vectors } \mathbf{d} \text{ and } \mathbf{q}.$
- $\hfill\square$ Normalizing d and q calculates cosine similarity.

Relevance Function ρ : Cosine Similarity



Relevance Function ρ : Cosine Similarity

The scalar product $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{b}$ between two *m*-dimensional vectors \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} , where φ denotes the angle between them, is defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{b} = ||\mathbf{a}|| \cdot ||\mathbf{b}|| \cdot \cos(\varphi)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \cos(\varphi) = \frac{\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{b}}{||\mathbf{a}|| \cdot ||\mathbf{b}||},$$

where $||\mathbf{x}||$ denotes the <u>L2 norm</u> of vector \mathbf{x} :

$$||\mathbf{x}|| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2\right)^{1/2}$$

Let $\rho(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{d}) = \cos(\varphi)$ be the relevance function of the vector space model.

Vector Space Model Example



Vector Space Model Example



Vector Space Model Example



The angle φ between d' and q' is about 48°, $\cos(\varphi) \approx 0.67$.

The weights in d' and q' denote the relative term frequency $w'_i = \frac{w_i}{\sum_{j=1}^5 w_j}$. Dimensions are aligned with zero padding. The product $\mathbf{d'}^T \mathbf{q'} = 0.15$, the norms $||\mathbf{d'}|| = 0.5$ and $||\mathbf{q'}|| = 0.447$.

IR:III-33 Retrieval Models

Term Weighting: *tf* · *idf* [BIM Relevance Function]

To compute the weight w for a term t from document d under the vector space model, the most commonly employed term weighting scheme $\omega(t)$ is $tf \cdot idf$:

- \Box *tf*(*t*, *d*) denotes the normalized *term frequency* of term *t* in document *d*. The basic idea is that the importance of term *t* is proportional to its frequency in document *d*. However, *t*'s importance does not increase linearly: the raw frequency must be normalized.
- df(t, D) denotes the *document frequency* of term t in document collection D. It counts the number of documents that contain t at least once.
- idf(t, D) denotes the *inverse document frequency*:

$$idf(t,D) = \log \frac{|D|}{df(t,D)}$$

The importance of term t in general is inversely proportional to its document frequency.

A term weight ω for term t in document $d \in D$ is computed as follows:

$$\omega(t) = tf(t, d) \cdot idf(t, D).$$

IR:III-34 Retrieval Models

Term Weighting: *tf* · *idf* [BIM Relevance Function]

To compute the weight w for a term t from document d under the vector space model, the most commonly employed term weighting scheme $\omega(t)$ is $tf \cdot idf$:

- tf(t, d) denotes the normalized term frequency of term t in document d. The basic idea is that the importance of term t is proportional to its frequency in document d. However, t's importance does not increase linearly: the raw frequency must be normalized.
- $\Box \quad df(t, D) \text{ denotes the document frequency of term } t \text{ in document collection } D.$ It counts the number of documents that contain t at least once.
- idf(t, D) denotes the *inverse document frequency*:

$$idf(t, D) = \log \frac{|D|}{df(t, D)}$$

The importance of term t in general is inversely proportional to its document frequency.

A term weight ω for term t in document $d \in D$ is computed as follows:

$$\omega(t) = tf(t, d) \cdot idf(t, D).$$

IR:III-35 Retrieval Models

Term Weighting: *tf* · *idf* [BIM Relevance Function]

To compute the weight w for a term t from document d under the vector space model, the most commonly employed term weighting scheme $\omega(t)$ is $tf \cdot idf$:

- \Box *tf*(*t*, *d*) denotes the normalized *term frequency* of term *t* in document *d*. The basic idea is that the importance of term *t* is proportional to its frequency in document *d*. However, *t*'s importance does not increase linearly: the raw frequency must be normalized.
- $\Box \quad df(t, D) \text{ denotes the document frequency of term } t \text{ in document collection } D.$ It counts the number of documents that contain t at least once.
- \Box *idf*(*t*, *D*) denotes the *inverse document frequency*:

$$idf(t, D) = \log \frac{|D|}{df(t, D)}$$

The importance of term t in general is inversely proportional to its document frequency.

A term weight ω for term t in document $d \in D$ is computed as follows:

 $\omega(t) = tf(t, d) \cdot idf(t, D).$

Term Weighting: *tf* · *idf* [BIM Relevance Function]

To compute the weight w for a term t from document d under the vector space model, the most commonly employed term weighting scheme $\omega(t)$ is $tf \cdot idf$:

- \Box *tf*(*t*, *d*) denotes the normalized *term frequency* of term *t* in document *d*. The basic idea is that the importance of term *t* is proportional to its frequency in document *d*. However, *t*'s importance does not increase linearly: the raw frequency must be normalized.
- $\Box \quad df(t, D) \text{ denotes the document frequency of term } t \text{ in document collection } D.$ It counts the number of documents that contain t at least once.
- \Box *idf*(*t*, *D*) denotes the *inverse document frequency*:

$$idf(t, D) = \log \frac{|D|}{df(t, D)}$$

The importance of term t in general is inversely proportional to its document frequency.

A term weight ω for term t in document $d \in D$ is computed as follows:

$$\omega(t) = tf(t, d) \cdot idf(t, D).$$

Term Weighting: *tf* · *idf*

Plot of the function $idf(t, D) = \log \frac{|D|}{df(t, D)}$ for |D| = 100. idf(t, D) 4 3 2 1 0 25 50 75 100 0 df(t, D)

Remarks:

- Term frequency weighting was invented by Hans Peter Luhn: "There is also the probability that the more frequently a notion and combination of notions occur, the more importance the author attaches to them as reflecting the essence of his overall idea." [Luhn 1957]
- \Box The importance of a term *t* for a document *d* is not linearly correlated with its frequency. Several normalization factors have been proposed [Wikipedia]:
 - tf(t,d)/|d|
 - $1 + \log(tf(t, d))$ for tf(t, d) > 0

- $k + (1-k) \frac{tf(t,d)}{\max_{t' \in d} (tf(t',d))}$, where k serves as smoothing term; typically k = 0.4

- Inverse document frequency weighting was invented by Karen Spärck Jones: "it seems we should treat matches on non-frequent terms as more valuable than ones on frequent terms, without disregarding the latter altogether. The natural solution is to correlate a term's matching value with its collection frequency." [Spärck Jones 1972]
- Spärck Jones gives little theoretical justification for her intuition. Given the success of *idf* in practice, over the decades, numerous attempts at a theoretical justification have been made. A comprehensive overview has been compiled by <u>Robertson 2004</u>.
- □ For example, interpreting the term $\frac{|D|}{df(t,D)}$ as inverse of the probability $P_{df}(t) = \frac{df(t,D)}{|D|}$ of t occurring in a random document in D yields $idf(t,D) = \log \frac{|D|}{df(t,D)} = -\log P_{df}(t)$. Logarithms fit relevance functions ρ since both are additive, yielding the interpretation: "The less likely (on a random basis) it is that a given combination of terms occurs, the more likely it is that a document containing this combination is relevant to the question." [Robertson 1972]

Query Refinement: Relevance Feedback

Given a result set R for a query q, and subsets $R^+ \subseteq R$ and $R^- \subseteq R$ of relevant and non-relevant documents, where $R^+ \cap R^- = \emptyset$, the query representation q can be refined with the document representations \mathbf{R} using Rocchio's update formula:

$$\mathbf{q}' = -\alpha \cdot \mathbf{q} + -\beta \cdot \frac{1}{|\mathbf{R}^+|} \sum_{\mathbf{d}^+ \in \mathbf{R}^+} \mathbf{d}^+ - -\gamma \cdot \frac{1}{|\mathbf{R}^-|} \sum_{\mathbf{d}^- \in \mathbf{R}^-} \mathbf{d}^-,$$

where α , β , and γ adjust the impact of original query and (non-)relevant documents.



Query Refinement: Relevance Feedback

Given a result set R for a query q, and subsets $R^+ \subseteq R$ and $R^- \subseteq R$ of relevant and non-relevant documents, where $R^+ \cap R^- = \emptyset$, the query representation q can be refined with the document representations \mathbf{R} using Rocchio's update formula:

$$\mathbf{q}' = -\alpha \cdot \mathbf{q} + -\beta \cdot \frac{1}{|\mathbf{R}^+|} \sum_{\mathbf{d}^+ \in \mathbf{R}^+} \mathbf{d}^+ - -\gamma \cdot \frac{1}{|\mathbf{R}^-|} \sum_{\mathbf{d}^- \in \mathbf{R}^-} \mathbf{d}^-,$$

where α , β , and γ adjust the impact of original query and (non-)relevant documents.

Observations:

- \Box Terms not in query q may get added; often a limit is imposed (say, 50).
- □ Terms may accrue negative weight; such weights are set to 0.
- □ Moves the query vector closer to the centroid of relevant documents.
- □ Works well if relevant documents cluster; less suited for multi-faceted topics.

Relevance feedback can be obtained directly from the user, indirectly through user interaction, or automatically assuming the top-retrieved documents as relevant.

Discussion

Advantages:

- □ Improved retrieval performance compared to Boolean retrieval
- Partial query matching: not all query terms need to be present in a document for it to be retrieved
- □ The relevance function ρ defines a ranking among the retrieved documents with respect to their computed similarity to the query

Disadvantages:

□ Index terms are assumed to occur independent of one another