

# Chapter NLP:V

## V. Semantics

- ❑ Semantic Phenomena
- ❑ Symbolic Semantics
- ❑ Distributional Semantics
- ❑ Compositional Semantics
- ❑ Frame Semantics

# Lexical Semantics

Definition[[OxfordRE Linguistics](#)]

Lexical semantics describes the relation between meaning and form of words:

Semasiology Which meaning can be assumed from word form. **Semantic relations** describe difference in meaning with identical form.

Polysemy: mouse animal vs. input device

Specialization: corn wheat vs. oats

Generalization: moon of earth vs. any satellite

Metaphor: desktop of a desk vs. on screen

Onomasiology Which **relations** exist between the concepts.  
Which forms exists for the concepts.

Lexical Relations: mouse vs. rodent

Lexical Fields

Frames

Distributional relations

...

# Lexical Semantics

## Word Senses

Meaning is usually represented discretely as a **word sense**.

- ❑ Senses are often denominated by superscript<sup>1</sup>.
- ❑ The informal description of a sense is its **gloss**,
- ❑ Senses can be modeled explicitly with their lexical relations or by componential analysis, or intrinsically via distributional semantics.

Sense description by gloss:

Sense	Gloss
Bank <sup>1</sup>	financial institution that accepts, deposits, and lends money.
Bank <sup>2</sup>	sloping land (especially the slope beside a body of water).
Red <sup>1</sup>	the color of <b>blood</b> or a ruby.
Blood <sup>1</sup>	the <b>red</b> liquid that circulates in the veins of animals.

# Lexical Semantics

## Lexical Relations (selection)

**Polysemy** Same lexeme, different sense.

The semantic relations are subtypes of polysemy.

**Synonym** Different sense but similar meaning.

couch  $\longleftrightarrow$  sofa      big  $\longleftrightarrow$  large

**Antonymy** Opposite meaning.

long  $\longleftrightarrow$  short

**Hyponymy/Hypernymy** One sense is less/more specific. Also called IS-A

car  $\xrightarrow{\text{Hyponym}}$  vehicle

car  $\xrightarrow{\text{Hypernym}}$  ID.3

**Meronym/Holonym** The part-whole relation.

wheel  $\xrightarrow{\text{Meronym}}$  car

car  $\xrightarrow{\text{Holonym}}$  wheel

Relations are defined over senses, not lexemes:

Synonymous    big<sup>1</sup> plane  $\longleftrightarrow$  large<sup>1</sup> plane  
Not synonymous    big<sup>2</sup> sister  $\longleftrightarrow$  large<sup>1</sup> sister

# Lexical Semantics

## WordNet

The largest English database of word senses is **WordNet**.[\[Fellbaum, 1998\]](#)

- ❑ WordNet has entries for **lemmas**.
- ❑ An entry has 1 or more **synsets**: sets of near-synonymous **senses**.  
Synsets represent concepts of meaning.
- ❑ Synsets and lemmas are split by word class: Noun, Verb, Adjective, Adverb.
- ❑ Each synset has a topic (supersense), assigned from a per word class fixed set.

Entry for the lemma **bass** (Noun) :

Synset	Topic	Gloss
bass <sup>1</sup>	attribute	the lowest part of the musical range
bass <sup>2</sup>	animal	edible marine and freshwater spiny-finned fishes
sea bass, bass <sup>2</sup>	food	the lean flesh of a saltwater fish of the family Serranidae
bass, <sup>1</sup> bass part	communication	part the lowest part in polyphonic music
bass, <sup>1</sup> basso	person	an adult male singer with the lowest voice

# Lexical Semantics

## WordNet

The largest English database of word senses is **WordNet**.[\[Fellbaum, 1998\]](#)

- ❑ WordNet has entries for **lemmas**.
- ❑ An entry has 1 or more **synsets**: sets of near-synonymous **senses**.  
Synsets represent concepts of meaning.
- ❑ Synsets and lemmas are split by word class: Noun, Verb, Adjective, Adverb.
- ❑ Each synset has a topic (supersense), assigned from a per word class fixed set.

Entry for the lemma **ride** (Verb):

<b>Synset</b>	<b>Supersense</b>	<b>Gloss</b>
sit, ride	motion	sit and travel on the back of animal
sit, ride	motion	be carried or travel on or in a vehicle
tease, ride, rally, bait, rag, twit, tantalize, razz, taunt, cod	communication	harass with persistent criticism or carping
ride	stative	continue undisturbed and without interference ?Let it ride?

## Remarks:

- ❑ WordNet synsets are separated by word class and do not overlap:
  - Nouns: 117,798 lemmas (avg. 1.23 senses)
  - Verbs: 11,529 lemmas (avg. 2.16 senses)
  - Adjectives: 22,479 lemmas
  - Adverbs: 4,481 lemmas
- ❑ In WordNet, semantic relations are encoded as one lexical relation (Polysemy) with 3 additional subrelations:
  - Constructional/structured polysemy: Same sense entry refers to different entities  
(The) Times **printed paper** vs. **the news contained in it** vs. **the organization**
  - Sense extension polysemy: Derives a new synset from an old sense  
chicken **animal** vs. **meat of animal**
  - Homonymy: Same sense, very different meaning  
bank **river bank** vs. **financial bank**

# Lexical Semantics

## Word Sense Disambiguation

**Word Sense Disambiguation (WSD)** is the task of assigning to each word in a text the correct sense from a sense lexicon.

- ❑ WSD is similar to tagging, but more difficult.  
There are several classes for each word.
- ❑ To disambiguate a small set of words, classification works.
- ❑ Using the most frequent sense every time is a strong baseline.      Disambiguate medical terms in lab reports
- ❑ There are several datasets (**semantic concordance**) where each word is annotated with its sense.
  - SEMCOR (Brown Corpus, English), SENSEVAL-3 (English), [Vossen et al., 2011] (Dutch), [Heinrich et al., 2012] (German)
  - Annotated are **word class** and **sense id** for open-class words.

Example annotations from SEMCOR:

You will find<sup>9<sub>v</sub></sup> that avocado<sup>1<sub>n</sub></sup> is<sup>1<sub>v</sub></sup> unlike<sup>1<sub>j</sub></sup> other<sup>1<sub>j</sub></sup> fruit<sup>1<sub>n</sub></sup> you have ever<sup>1<sub>r</sub></sup> tasted<sup>2<sub>v</sub></sup>



# Lexical Semantics

## Word Sense Disambiguation: Lesk

**Idea:** The context of a word should overlap with the words in the gloss of its sense.

- ❑ Does not need training data.
- ❑ Easy to apply to new or low-resource languages.
- ❑ Glosses can easily be extended with (annotated) examples.

### Sense Gloss

**bank<sup>1</sup>** A financial institution that accepts **deposits** and channels the money into lending activities  
**bank<sup>2</sup>** sloping land (especially the slope beside a body of water)

$w_{i-4}$     $w_{i-3}$     $w_{i-2}$     $w_{i-1}$     $w_i$     $w_{i+1}$     $w_{i+2}$     $w_{i+3}$     $w_{i+4}$     $w_{i+5}$   
We guarantee that your **bank** **deposits** will cover future costs.

# Lexical Semantics

## Word Sense Disambiguation: Lesk

### SIMPLIFIED LESK:

For the word  $w_i$  in a sequence  $w_1, \dots, w_{i-k}, \dots, w_i, \dots, w_{i+k}, \dots, w_n$  with window size  $k$  and glosses  $G_{w_i} = \{g_{w_i,1}, \dots, g_{w_i,j}\}$ :

1. Remove stopwords from and lemmatize the context window  $v_i := (w_{i-k}, \dots, w_{i-1}, w_{i+1}, \dots, w_{i+k})$  and all glosses  $g_j \in G_{w_i}$ .
  2. Vectorize  $v_i$  and all  $g_j \in G_{w_i}$ .
  3. Disambiguate  $w_i$  by the lowest cosine between context and gloss vectors.
- ❑ LESK can be improved using tf-idf-weighted vectors or any other (semantic) similarity measure.
  - ❑ Gloss vectors can be pre-computed.

# Lexical Semantics

## Word Sense Disambiguation: Classification

**Idea:** Classify the sense with sliding-window features (cf. sequence tagging).

Example features:

- 1. Words (lemmas/stems) in the context window
- 2. Part-of-speech tags for each word in the window
- 3.  $n$ -grams
- 4. Weighted average of the word embeddings

Example:

$w_{i-4}$     $w_{i-3}$     $w_{i-2}$     $w_{i-1}$     $w_i$     $w_{i+1}$     $w_{i+2}$     $w_{i+3}$     $w_{i+4}$     $w_{i+5}$   
We guarantee that your bank deposits will cover future costs.

Features for  $w_i$  with  $k = 2$ :

$w_{i-2}$	$POS_{i-2}$	$w_{i-1}$	$POS_{i-1}$	$w_{i+1}$	$POS_{i+1}$	$w_{i+2}$	$POS_{i+2}$	$w_{i-2}^{i-1}$	$w_{i+1}^{i+2}$
that	IN	your	PRP	deposits	NN	will	MD	that your	deposits will

## Remarks:

- ❑ The state of the art for WSD uses **contextualized word embeddings**.
- ❑ Word embeddings are identical for each sense of the same word form. They are not intrinsically useful for WSD.
- ❑ However, since word vector spaces embed semantic similarity, they can solve tasks like lexical substitution with a simple nearest neighbor search.
- ❑ A large language model like BERT produces contextualized word embeddings more or less as a by-product. Here, the same lexeme can have different vectors, depending on its context words. BERT solves WSD extremely well if there are vectors for each sense.
- ❑ `Word Sense Induction` tries to create lexicons like WordNet automatically by clustering the embedding space. This produces a synset collection with context vectors for each sense (the mean vector of each cluster) in an unsupervised fashion.

# Lexical Semantics

## Lexical Substitution

Lexical substitution tasks are subtask of WSD.

- ❑ Classic lexical substitution looks for one or more semantically similar replacement for certain words.

My favorite thing about her is her straightforward honesty

→ My favorite thing about her is her sincere/genuine/frank honesty

- ❑ Lexical simplification looks for a easier to understand byt semantically similar replacement.

John composed these verses → John wrote these poems

- ❑ Lexical substitution often uses the same techiniques as WSD but does not require a lexicon.

# Lexical Semantics

## Multi-Word Expressions

Multi-word expressions (MWE) can function as singular lexical units.

MWE semantics can be

1. compositional,

driving instructor

argumentation quality assessment

2. idiomatic,

vice versa

kick the bucket

3. or in-between.

Long time no see