

# **Bots and Gender Prediction Using Language Independent Stylometry-Based Approach**

## **Notebook for PAN at CLEF 2019**

Shaina Ashraf, Omer Javed, Muhammad Adeel, Haider Ali  
Rao Muhammad Adeel Nawab

Department of Computer Science, COMSATS University Islamabad, Lahore Campus, Pakistan.

shainaashraf@cuilahore.edu.pk, {omerjaved11,  
mirzaadeel6233, haideriqbalm11}@gmail.com,  
[adeelnawab@cuilahore.edu.pk](mailto:adeelnawab@cuilahore.edu.pk)

**Abstract** This paper describes our participation for the Bots and Gender Profiling task at *PAN 2019*. The aim of this task is to first discriminate a profile either as bot or human. If the profile is written by a human, it should be further classified as male or female. Our proposed approach is based on language independent stylometry features. A total of 27 language independent stylometry features were used to build the system for Bots and Gender Profiling (18 features are character based and remaining 9 are emotion based). On training dataset, for English language, Accuracy scores of 0.97 and 0.80 are obtained for bot and human classification task and male / female classification task respectively. For Spanish language, Accuracy of 0.93 and 0.75 is obtained for bot and human classification task and male / female classification task respectively. On test dataset 1, for English language, Accuracy scores of 0.92 and 0.76 are obtained for bot and human classification task and male / female classification task. For Spanish language, Accuracy of 0.86 and 0.75 is obtained for bot and human classification task and male / female classification task respectively. On test dataset 2, for English language, bot and human classification task and male/female classification task obtained Accuracy scores of 0.92 and 0.76 respectively, whereas for Spanish language, bot and human classification task and male/female classification task obtained Accuracy scores of 0.88 and 0.72 respectively.

**Keywords:** Bot and Gender Profiling, Author Profiling, Stylometric Features, Emojis, stylometry, Emoticons

## 1 Introduction

As the usage of social network platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, blogs and community forums is arising, the communication methods are changing. People feel free to talk, discuss and post their reviews, comments on such channels more frequently. Many people rely on social forums i.e. Reddit, Yelp, Quora and Amazon message boards, etc., to get information, feedback and recommendations for different products and services. However, a large number of users on social networking sites are taking miss-advantage of such forums by making fake profiles, spams and bots. In recent years, bots are being used to pose as humans on social networking platforms to influence other social media users with ideological, political or commercial purposes. Bots can exaggerate the popularity of products by writing positive reviews and rating them. They can also sabotage the reputation of competitive products through negative reviews and ratings. Furthermore, bots are also being widely used for fake news spreading. Therefore, it is important to develop author profiling systems which can discriminate bot profiles from human ones.

The study presents a stylometry based approach to address the problem of Bots and Gender Profiling. A total of 27 language independent features were used, which can be broadly categorized into: (1) character based features and (2) emoticons based features. A range of classifiers have been explored including Logistic Regression, Random Forest, Linear SVC, BernoulliNB, MultinomialNB and SVC (Support Vector Classifier) to train and test our proposed system. The developed system is deployed on TIRA [9] for final evaluation on test datasets. A detailed comparison of all the systems presented in the *PAN 2019* Bot and Gender Profiling task can be found in [10].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes related work on author profiling, Section 3 presents our proposed approach, Section 4 describes the experimental setup, Section 5 presents results and their analysis. Finally, Section 6 concludes the paper with future work directions.

## 2 Related work

In previous studies, many researchers have explored different methods i.e. stylometry, content based, topic based and deep learning for finding different demographics of an author on social network. The author [1] has worked on author profiling by use of stylometry based approach to identify the traits of authors. They worked on cross genre author profiles by use of different features like 6 vocabulary richness, 26 character based features, 16 syntactic features and 7 lexical features. The experiments show results on testing corpus with accuracy of 0.576 for gender data, 0.371 for age

and collective 0.256. They do not work on combination of multiple features like content based and topic based by use of stylistic attributes in cross genre author profile based work.

The author [2] shows that different features provides remarkable results with different classes. In this work, system grasps time series show the evolution of multiple features which are described on Twitter. They worked on network structure, sentiments, languages, content features, timing, user meta data and different diffusion patterns. The results show high accuracy with detection of campaign and analyze the high volume of data. They focused on signature of campaigns by use of information diffusion and content based features. There is lack of work on different classes of campaigns like terrorist propaganda with legitimate advertising, etc.

Oentaryo, Richard J., et al. [3] categorized the bots by use of behaviors, it includes spam, consumption and broadcast bots. They proposed a new profiling framework that consists of multiple features and classifier bank. The author worked on nearly 159 thousand bots and human data on Twitter. The experiments results show efficient results on malicious and benign bots to find the interesting behavior traits.

A work is presented for automatic fake news detection [4] for this purpose, a real world data was used for checking the user believes on fake news. The author identified the number of people which trust on false news and those users who identify that the news provide us fake information. They categorize the different user profiles with implicit and explicit profiles features. The paper deals with age, personality and gender information. It did not used the political biases and user credibility for understanding the user about identification of fake news.

The author focused on the identification of gender and four different languages by use of Twitter data [5]. For evaluation of this approach, they used accuracy for language diversity and for gender. They used the content based approach and stylistic based approach for solving the problem. In this approach, deep learning used with convolutional neural network and recurrent neural networks. The languages are Arabic, Spanish, English and Portuguese, in which Portuguese provides more accurate results for joint identification. But in case of language variety, Spanish and Portuguese provides the efficient results.

A machine classifier [6] is used to detect unidentified bots by use of behavioral and other informal characteristics. The work was implemented by use of bot's activities in Wikidata. We show that Un flagged bot activities changed the results in many cases. The author used the random forest classifier and a gradient boosting classifier and applied optimization by hyper parameter for both models. The performance of model is efficient against the registered user information.

Another work is presented [7], used language variety identification with the information of gender dimensions. They used the three languages for evaluation like English, Arabic and Spanish. The main contribution of the work is that it not only focus on the textual data, it takes the image based data. A proposed approach was evaluated by final ranking, which is calculated by average accuracy per language. The evaluation

dataset consists of Twitter, Tweets data and images. SVM and logistic regression is used with deep learning and classification of images. According to the achieved results, text features discriminate better between genders than the images data.

### 3 Proposed Language Independent Stylometry Based Approach

Writing style of an author helps to identify various attributes of an author, for example, age, gender, personality type, occupation and political interest etc. It is expected that the writing style of a human is significantly different from a bot. Therefore, stylometry features [13] are likely to be very helpful in discriminating bot profiles from human ones. Another major difference between a human profile and a bot profile is the usage of emoticons. The profile generated by a bot is likely to be plain text, whereas on the other hand, a human profile is likely to be a mixture of both text and emoticons. Considering the above two factors, our proposed approach uses a combination of character based stylometric features and emotions-based features to distinguish human from bot. Note that our proposed approach uses language independent stylometry features i.e. they can be applied on any language for bot and human profiling.

In our proposed system, a total of 27 stylometry based features are used (18 features are character based and 9 are emotion based). The set of character based features includes: (1) `url_count`, (2) `space_count`, (3) `capital_count`, (4) `text_length`, (5) `curly_brackets_count`, (6) `round_brackets_count`, (7) `underscore_count`, (8) `question_mark_count`, (9) `exclamation_mark_count`, (10) `dollar_mark_count`, (11) `ampersand_mark_count`, (12) `hash_count`, (13) `tag_count`, (14) `slashes_count`, (15) `operator_count`, (16) `punc_count`, (17) `line_count`, (18) `word_count`. The set of emotion based features includes: (1) `emoji_count`, (2) `face_smiling`, (3) `face_affection`, (4) `face_tongue`, (5) `face_hand`, (6) `face_neutral_skeptical`, (7) `face_concerned`, (8) `monkey_face`, (9) `emotions` (for details see Table 3.1).

Table 3.1 List of language independent stylometry based features used in the development of our proposed system for *PAN2019 Bot and Gender Profiling task*

No	Feature	Description
1	emoji_count	Count all kind Kind of emojis
2	face_smiling	Count 😄😁😊😂😃😇🤡😆😏😜😈😎😍😘😙😚😛😝😞😟😠😡😢😣😤😥😦😧😨😩😪😫😬😭😮😯😰😱😲😳😴😵😶😷😸😹😺😻😼😽😾😿😺😻😼😽😾😿😽😾😿😺😻😼😽😾😿😽😾😿



No	Feature	Description
21	hash_count	Count #
22	tag_count	Count @
23	slashes_count	Count Slashes // /\
24	operator_count	Count Operators +-*/%<>^
25	punc_count	Count Puntuations " , : ; `
26	line_count	Count nextlines \n
27	word_count	Count Words A-Za-z

## 4 Experimental Setup

This section describes the main statistics of the training corpus, evaluation methodology and evaluation measures.

### 4.1 Training Corpus

We have used *pan19-author-profiling-training* dataset to train our proposed system. We have performed author profiling task for both languages i.e. English and Spanish. The English training corpus contains 4,120 author profiles and each profile contains 100 tweets in English, whereas Spanish training corpus contains 3,000 author profiles and each profile consists of 100 tweets in Spanish (see Table 4.1 for detailed statistics of both corpora).

Table 4.1 Distribution of data in the *pan19-author-profiling-training* corpus for Bot and Gender Profiling task

	Total Profiles	Bot	Male	Female
English	4120	2060	1030	1030
Spanish	3000	1500	750	750

Note that, in our proposed approach, no pre-processing or cleaning operations are performed on both training and test datasets because URL's and hashtags are used as features in the classification task.

## 4.2 Evaluation Methodology

The task of predicting an author's type as bot or human and determining gender from his/her text is considered as supervised document classification tasks. We have performed binary classification tasks for distinguishing bot or human and then identification of its gender. A range of classifiers are explored including Logistic Regression, Random Forest classifier, LinearSVC, BernoulliNB, MultinomialNB and SVC to train and test our proposed system. The numeric values generated by 27 different stylometry features (see Section 3) were used as input to these classifiers.

## 4.3 Evaluation Measure

Evaluation is carried out using Accuracy measure. Accuracy is defined as ratio of correctly predicted instances to total instances.

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{Number of correctly classified profiles}}{\text{Total number of profiles}}$$

# 5 Results and Analysis

## 5.1 Results on Training Dataset

Table 5.1 presents the Accuracy results of our proposed approach on *pan19-author-profiling-training* dataset using 6 different machine learning algorithms. The best results are obtained using Random Forest classifier for both English (0.970 accuracy for bot/human & 0.802 for gender prediction) and Spanish (0.935 accuracy for bot/human & 0.755 for gender prediction) languages. As can be noted that these results are very promising, highlighting the fact that language independent character based and emotion-based features used in our proposed approach are useful in discriminating a bot from human as well as distinguishing a male profile from a female one.

Table 5.1 Results obtained on *pan19-author-profiling-training* corpus using our proposed approach for PAN 2019 Bot and Gender Profiling task

Classifier	English		Spanish	
	Bot/ Human	Male/Female	Bot/Human	Male/ Female
<b>LogisticRegression</b>	0.906	0.7303	0.871	0.678
<b>Random Forest</b>	<b>0.970</b>	<b>0.802</b>	<b>0.935</b>	<b>0.755</b>
<b>LinearSVC</b>	0.869	0.5209	0.749	0.577
<b>BernoulliNB</b>	0.904	0.629	0.822	0.603
<b>MultinomialNB</b>	0.813	0.577	0.796	0.657
<b>SVC</b>	0.479	0.490	0.505	0.469

## 5.2 Results on Test Datasets

In *PAN 2019 Bot and Gender Profiling task*, final evaluation was carried out on two test corpora: (1) *Pan19-author-profiling-test-dataset1* corpus and (2) *Pan19-author-profiling-test-dataset2* corpus. Table 5.2 shows results obtained using our proposed language independent stylometry based approach on both test corpora. On *Pan19-author-profiling-test-dataset1* corpus, for English language, Accuracy scores of 0.9280 and 0.7652 are obtained for bot/human and male/female classification tasks respectively, whereas for Spanish language, 0.8611 and 0.7556 Accuracy scores are obtained for human/bot and male/female classification tasks respectively. Similarly, on *Pan19-author-profiling-test-dataset2* corpus, for English language, Accuracy scores of 0.9227 and 0.7583 are obtained for bot/human and male/female classification tasks respectively, whereas for Spanish language, 0.8839 and 0.7261 Accuracy scores are obtained for human/bot and male/female classification tasks respectively.

It can be noted that Accuracy results for English tweets are higher compared to Spanish, even though same language independent features were extracted for both languages. The possible reason for this is that Spanish profiles in the train and test datasets of the *PAN 2019 Bot and Gender Profiling task* may contain text in more than



one language since the datasets are provided by the PAN organizers contain raw tweets and re-tweets i.e. no pre-processing and / or cleaning is performed. Consequently, performance drops for the Spanish language. These results also show that the Accuracy for the identification of type i.e. human/bot is very high compared to gender prediction which shows that our proposed stylistic features are more suitable discriminating bot from human than gender discrimination. This is likely to happen because bots are likely to generate profiles without emotions and humans generate profiles with a combination of emotions and texts. Consequently, it makes it easier for the classifiers to distinguish human from bot.

Table 5.2 Results obtained on *Pan19-author-profiling-test-dataset1* and *Pan19-author-profiling-test-dataset2* corpora using our proposed approach for *PAN 2019 Bot and Gender Profiling* task

Corpus	English		Spanish	
	Type: Bot/ Human	Gender: Male/Female	Type: Bot/ Human	Gender: Male/Female
<i>Pan19-author-profiling-test-dataset1</i>	0.9280	0.7652	0.8611	0.7556
<i>Pan19-author-profiling-test-dataset2</i>	0.9227	0.7583	0.8839	0.7261

## 6 Conclusion

This paper presents a language independent stylometry based approach for the *PAN 2019 Bot and Gender Profiling* task. A total of 27 stylistic features were used to build the proposed system (18 are character based and 9 emotion based). A range of classifiers were also applied including Logistic Regression, Random Forest, LinearSVC, BernoulliNB, MultinomialNB and SVC. Promising results were obtained on both test datasets in the final evaluation.

In future, we plan to apply deep learning and other methods for the *PAN 2019 Bot and Gender Profiling* task.

## References:

1. Ashraf, S., Iqbal, H. R., & Nawab, R. M. A. (2016, September). Cross-Genre Author Profile Prediction Using Stylometry-Based Approach. In *CLEF (Working Notes)* (pp. 992-999).
2. Ferrara, E., Varol, O., Menczer, F., & Flammini, A. (2016, March). Detection of promoted social media campaigns. In *tenth international AAAI conference on web and social media*.
3. Oentaryo, R. J., Murdopo, A., Prasetyo, P. K., & Lim, E. P. (2016, November). On profiling bots in social media. In *International Conference on Social Informatics* (pp. 92-109). Springer, Cham.
4. Shu, K., Wang, S., & Liu, H. (2018, April). Understanding user profiles on social media for fake news detection. In *2018 IEEE Conference on Multimedia Information Processing and Retrieval (MIPR)* (pp. 430-435). IEEE.
5. Rangel, F., Rosso, P., Potthast, M., & Stein, B. (2017). Overview of the 5th author profiling task at pan 2017: Gender and language variety identification in twitter. *Working Notes Papers of the CLEF*.
6. Hall, A., Terveen, L., & Halfaker, A. (2018). Bot Detection in Wikidata Using Behavioral and Other Informal Cues. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 2(CSCW), 64.
7. Rangel, Francisco, Paolo Rosso, Manuel Montes-y-Gómez, Martin Potthast, and Benno Stein. "Overview of the 6th author profiling task at pan 2018: multimodal gender identification in Twitter." *Working Notes Papers of the CLEF* (2018).
8. Daelemans, W., Kestemont, M., Manjavacas, E., Potthast, M., Rangel, F., Rosso, P., Specht, G., Stamatatos, E., Stein, B., Tschuggnall, M., Wiegmann, M., Zangerle, E.: Overview of PAN 2019: Author Profiling, Celebrity Profiling, Cross-domain Authorship Attribution and Style Change Detection. In: Crestani, F., Braschler, M., Savoy, J., Rauber, A., Müller, H., Losada, D., Heinatz, G., Cappellato, L., Ferro, N. (eds.) *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference of the CLEF Association (CLEF 2019)*. Springer (Sep 2019)
9. Potthast, M., Gollub, T., Wiegmann, M., Stein, B.: TIRA Integrated Research Architecture. In: Ferro, N., Peters, C. (eds.) *Information Retrieval Evaluation in a Changing World - Lessons Learned from 20 Years of CLEF*. Springer (2019)
10. Rangel, F., Rosso, P.: Overview of the 7th Author Profiling Task at PAN 2019: Bots and Gender Profiling. In: Cappellato, L., Ferro, N., Losada, D., Müller, H. (eds.) *CLEF 2019 Labs and Workshops, Notebook Papers*. CEUR-WS.org (Sep 2019)
11. Rangel, F., Rosso, P., Verhoeven, B., Daelemans, W., Potthast, M., Stein, B.: Evaluations Concerning Cross-genre Author Profiling. In: *Working Notes Papers of the CLEF 2016 Evaluation Labs*. CEUR Workshop Proceedings, CLEF and CEUR-WS.org (2016)
12. Soler, J., and Wanner, L. 2016. A semi-supervised approach for gender identification. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Language Resources and*

Evaluation (LREC-2016), Portoroz̃, Slovenia, European Language Resources Association (ELRA).

13. Flekova, L., Ungar, L., and Preotiuc-Pietro, D. 2016. Exploring stylistic variation with age and income on Twitter. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL 2016)*, Berlin, Germany.
14. Fatima, M., Hasan, K., Anwar, S., and Nawab, R. M. A. 2017. Multilingual author profiling on Facebook. *Information Processing & Management* 53(4): 886–904.
15. Przybyła, P., and Teisseyre, P. 2015. What do your look-alikes say about you? Exploiting strong and weak similarities for author profiling—Notebook for PAN at CLEF 2015. In *Evaluation Labs and Workshop – Working Notes Papers (CLEF-2015)*, Toulouse, France. CEUR-WS.org.
16. Shrestha, P., Rey-Villamizar, N., Sadeque, F., Pedersen, T., Bethard, S., and Solorio, T. 2016. Age and gender prediction on health forum data. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC-2016)*. European Language Resources Association (ELRA).