FASTWARC: OPTIMIZING LARGE-SCALE WEB ARCHIVE ANALYTICS

Janek Bevendorff*, Martin Potthast[†], Benno Stein* *Bauhaus-Universität Weimar, [†]Leipzig University

Abstract

Web search and other large-scale web data analytics rely on processing archives of web pages stored in a standardized and efficient format. Since its introduction in 2008, the IIPC's Web ARCive (WARC) format¹ has become the standard format for this purpose. As a list of individually compressed records of HTTP requests and responses, it allows for constant-time random access to all kinds of web data via off-the-shelf open source parsers in many programming languages, such as WARCIO,² the de-facto standard for Python. When processing web archives at the terabyte or petabyte scale, however, even small inefficiencies in these tools add up quickly, resulting in hours, days, or even weeks of wasted compute time. Reviewing the basic components of WARCIO and analyzing its bottlenecks, we proceed to build FastWARC, a new high-performance WARC processing library for Python, written in C++/Cython, which yields performance improvements by factors of 1.6-8x.

INTRODUCTION

The earliest open source implementations of the WARC format were provided for Java, namely Lin's ClueWeb Tools³ (initially used by the research search engine ChatNoir),⁴ followed by a more standards-compliant reference implementation from the IIPC.⁵ Meanwhile, the IR, NLP, and machine learning communities have largely transitioned to Python, instead adopting WARCIO as a native implementation in that language. Processing large samples of the Common Crawl and web archive data from the Internet Archive, however, we observed that the library did not match our performance expectations. Even compiling it to native C code using Cython yielded only marginal improvements. Analyzing its bottlenecks, three key causes can be discerned: (1) stream decompression speed, (2) record parsing performance, and (3) lack of efficient skipping of non-response records. Our contribution is to rectify these issues with FastWARC, a rewrite of the entire WARC parsing pipeline from scratch.

FASTWARC VS. WARCIO

FastWARC is a reimplementation of WARCIO in C++ with Cython, making it both fast and perfectly integrated into the Python ecosystem, yet allowing for more language bindings if required. Table 1 compiles detailed performance comparisons: On an uncompressed WARC file, it gains an overall 6.4x speedup over WARCIO, or 4x over a naively "cythonized" WARCIO. With an average processing time of 1.2 vs. 8 seconds for a single WARC file, this already saves at least 115 hours of compute time on a recent Common Crawl with 64 000 individual WARCs (62.5 TiB compressed). For

Comp.	Parser	Records/s	Speedup
AMD Ryze	en Threadripper 2920X (NVMe SSL))	
None	WARCIO	16945.5	_
None	FastWARC	108 488.0	6.4
None	WARCIO+HTTP	11661.6	-
None	FastWARC+HTTP	79 297.0	6.8
None	WARCIO+HTTP+Checksum	6986.7	-
None	FastWARC+HTTP+Checksum	21 320.9	3.1
GZip	WARCIO	6460.1	_
GZip	FastWARC	10413.4	1.6
GZip	WARCIO+HTTP	5 4 3 5.6	-
GZip	FastWARC+HTTP	10101.5	1.9
GZip	WARCIO+HTTP+Checksum	4121.6	-
GZip	FastWARC+HTTP+Checksum	7 433.0	1.8
LZ4	FastWARC	49 825.4	7.7*
LZ4	FastWARC+HTTP	42 394.5	7.8*
LZ4	FastWARC+HTTP+Checksum	16992.2	4.1*
Intel(R) X	eon(R) CPU E5-2620 v2 (remote C	eph storage)	
None	WARCIO	7 969.1	_
None	FastWARC	49 396.5	6.2
GZip	WARCIO	3 555.7	_
GZip	FastWARC	6335.1	1.8
LZ4	FastWARC	28 313.8	8.0*

Table 1: Evaluation of FastWARC and WARCIO on two systems. Runs are (1) without payload parsing, (2) with automatic HTTP header parsing, and (3) with record check-summing. *LZ4 speedup is over WARCIO with GZip, since WARCIO does not support LZ4.

better decompression speed of gzipped streams, FastWARC interfaces directly with *zlib*, achieving compute time savings of roughly 2.1 hours per TiB or 2 200 hours per PiB over WARCIO. The largest performance penalty, however, comes from the decompressor itself. While still saving about 135 hours overall on a Common Crawl, the relative speedup shrinks to only 1.6–1.8x. For this reason, we decided to add support for the more recent and much faster LZ4 algorithm. With LZ4, we can save another 168 hours on top (a speedup of 4.8x over FastWARC with GZip), or 300 hours compared to WARCIO (speedup of up to 8x).

CONCLUSION

FastWARC can speed up WARC processing significantly, saving hundreds of hours of compute time on large-scale web archive analytics. By far the largest speedup, though, can be gained from using LZ4 over GZip. Considering an additional storage overhead of only about 30–40 %, recompressing GZip WARCs with LZ4 is certainly an option to be considered, especially in cases where processing speed is more important than storage efficiency.

FastWARC is released under the Apache 2.0 license and can be downloaded from Github⁶ or PyPi.⁷

¹ ISO 28500:2017; https://iipc.github.io/warc-specifications/

² https://github.com/webrecorder/warcio

³ https://github.com/lintool/clueweb

⁴ https://chatnoir.eu/

⁵ https://github.com/iipc/jwarc

⁶ https://github.com/chatnoir-eu/chatnoir-resiliparse

⁷ pip install fastwarc