# SemEval-2019 Task 4: Hyperpartisan News Detection



Johannes Maria Rishabh Emmanuel Payam David Martin Benno Kiesel<sup>1</sup> Mestre<sup>2</sup> Shukla<sup>2</sup> Vincent<sup>2</sup> Adineh<sup>1</sup> Stein<sup>1</sup> Potthast<sup>3</sup> Corney

Webis Bauhaus-Universität Weimar<sup>1</sup>, Leipzig University<sup>3</sup>

@KieselJohannes

**FACTMATA**<sup>2</sup>

#### Task 4: Hyperpartisan News Detection Background

The left-right political spectrum is a system of classifying political positions, ideologies and parties. Left-wing politics and right-wing politics are often presented as opposed, although either may adopt stances from the other side. [Wikipedia]

A partisan is a politician who strongly supports their party's policies and is reluctant to compromise with political opponents. [Wikipedia]



We see fake news as "disinformation displayed as news articles"

We see fake news as "disinformation displayed as news articles"



We see fake news as "disinformation displayed as news articles"



@KieselJohannes

Motivations for mis- and disinformation:



Motivations for mis- and disinformation: includes partisanship



Motivations for publishing hyperpartisan news are not just partisanship



# Task 4: Hyperpartisan News Detection Data

Task: Given the text and markup of an online news article, decide whether the article is hyperpartisan or not.

- Dataset Annotated by Article: 1 273 articles.
- □ Manual annotation of each article by crowdworkers.
  - Articles from  ${\sim}500$  US news publishers
  - Crowdworker reliability estimate by Beta reputation system (Ismail and Josang 2002)
  - 3 Annotations per article
  - Public set: 645 articles; hidden test set: 628 articles, balanced
  - No publisher-overlap between sets



# Task 4: Hyperpartisan News Detection Data

Task: Given the text and markup of an online news article, decide whether the article is hyperpartisan or not.

- Dataset Annotated by Article: 1 273 articles.
- Manual annotation of each article by crowdworkers.
  - Articles from ~500 US news publishers
  - Crowdworker reliability estimate by Beta reputation system (Ismail and Josang 2002)
  - 3 Annotations per article \_
  - Public set: 645 articles; hidden test set: 628 articles, balanced
  - No publisher-overlap between sets \_
- Dataset Annotated by Publisher: 754 000 articles.
- Manual annotation of each publisher by journalists.
  - Annotation of  $\sim$ 400 US news publishers by BuzzFeed and Media Bias Fact Check
  - Crawling of article feeds \_
  - Content wrappers were implemented for each publisher
  - Filtering to political news, English, at least 40 words, correct encoding \_
  - Public set: 750 000 articles, balanced; hidden test set: 4 000 articles, balanced
  - No publisher-overlap between sets



# Task 4: Hyperpartisan News Detection Methods

#### **Employed** features

N-Grams character, word, part-of-speech Embeddings BERT, Word2Vec, fastText, GloVe, ELMo, word clusters, sentences Stylometry punctuation, structure, readability, lexicons, trigger words Emotionality sentiment, emotion, subjectivity, polarity Named entities nationalities, religious and political groups Quotations count, discarded Hyperlinks lists of hyperpartisan pages Publication date year, month

Detailed analysis of hand-crafted features: Borat Sagdiyev

#### Classifiers

Convolutional neural networks, Long short term memory, Support vector machines, Random Forest, Linear model, Naive Bayes, XGBOOST, Maximum Entropy, Rule-based, ULMFit

# Task 4: Hyperpartisan News Detection Results on dataset annotated by article

Team	Authors	Acc.	Prec.	Rec.	F1.
Bertha von Suttner	Jiang et al.	0.822	0.871	0.755	0.809
Vernon Fenwick	Srivastava et al.	0.820	0.815	0.828	0.821
Sally Smedley	Hanawa et al.	0.809	0.823	0.787	0.805
Tom Jumbo Grumbo	Yeh et al.	0.806	0.858	0.732	0.790
Dick Preston	Isbister and Johansson	0.803	0.793	0.818	0.806
Borat Sagdiyev	Palić et al.	0.791	0.883	0.672	0.763
Morbo	Isbister and Johansson	0.790	0.772	0.822	0.796
Howard Beale	Mutlu et al	0.783	0.837	0.704	0.765
Ned Leeds	Stevanoski and Gievska	0.775	0.865	0.653	0.744
Clint Buchanan	Drissi et al.	0.771	0.832	0.678	0.747
+ 32 more					

- □ 322 registrations
- 184 virtual machines assigned
- a 42 software submissions from as many teams
- □ 34 papers
- Ongoing submissions in TIRA



# Task 4: Hyperpartisan News Detection Results on meta-learning dataset

Team	Authors	Acc.	Prec.	<b>Rec.</b> 1 0.788	F1.
Bertha von Suttner alone	Jiang et al.	0.851	0.901	0.788	0.841
Meta-learning data	aset created from				

test dataset: 66% training, 33% test

□ Higher accuracy (from 0.822)

# Task 4: Hyperpartisan News Detection Results on meta-learning dataset

Team	Authors	Acc.	Prec.	Rec.	F1.
Majority Vote	Kiesel et al.	0.885	0.892	0.875	0.883
J48-M10	Kiesel et al.	0.880	0.916	0.837	0.874
Bertha von Suttner alone	Jiang et al.	0.851	0.901	0.788	0.841

- Meta-learning dataset created from test dataset: 66% training, 33% test
- □ Higher accuracy (from 0.822)
- Baselines beat best single system



# Task 4: Hyperpartisan News Detection Results on meta-learning dataset

Team	Authors	Acc.	Prec.	Rec.	F1.
Fernando Pessa	Cruz et al.	0.899	0.895	<b>0.904</b>	<b>0.900</b>
Spider Jerusalem	Alabdulkarim and Alhindi	0.899	0.903	0.894	0.899
Majority Vote	Kiesel et al.	0.885	0.892	0.875	0.883
J48-M10	Kiesel et al.	0.880	<b>0.916</b>	0.837	0.874
Bertha von Suttner alone	Jiang et al.	0.851	0.901	0.788	0.841

- Meta-learning dataset created from test dataset: 66% training, 33% test
- □ Higher accuracy (from 0.822)
- Baselines beat best single system
- Both participants beat the baselines
- They use a Random Forest and a weighted majority vote, respectively
- Ongoing submissions in TIRA



# Task 4: Hyperpartisan News Detection Results on dataset annotated by publisher

Team	Authors	Acc.	Prec.	Rec.	F1.
Tintin	Bestgen	0.706	0.742	0.632	0.683
Joseph Rouletabille	Moreno et al.	0.680	0.640	0.827	0.721
Brenda Starr	Papadopoulou et al.	0.664	0.627	0.807	0.706
Xenophilius Lovegood	Zehe et al.	0.663	0.632	0.781	0.699
Yeon Zi	Lee et al.	0.663	0.635	0.766	0.694
Miles Clarkson	Zhang et al.	0.652	0.612	0.832	0.705
Jack Ryder	Shaprin et al.	0.645	0.600	0.869	0.710
Bertha von Suttner + 16 more	Jiang et al.	0.643	0.616	0.762	0.681
Robin Scherbatsky + 3 more	Marx and Akut	0.524	0.822	0.062	0.116

- □ 28 teams (of 42)
- □ Lower accuracy (from 0.822)
- Most teams focused on the other dataset
- Ranking very different
- Ongoing submissions in TIRA



# Task 4: Hyperpartisan News Detection Comparison of dataset rankings

Team	Authors
Tintin	Bestgen
Joseph Rouletabille	Moreno et al.
Brenda Starr	Papadopoulou et al.
Xenophilius Lovegood	Zehe et al.
Yeon Zi	Lee et al.
Miles Clarkson	Zhang et al.
Jack Ryder	Shaprin et al.
Bertha von Suttner	Jiang et al.
+ 16 more	
Robin Scherbatsky	Marx and Akut
+ 3 more	

- □ 28 teams (of 42)
- □ Lower accuracy (from 0.822)
- Most teams focused on the other dataset
- Ranking very different
- Ongoing submissions in TIRA



 $\Box$  Two datasets, newest version downloaded  $\sim$ 450 times

- $\hfill\square$  Two datasets, newest version downloaded  ${\sim}450$  times
- □ Features reported to be especially efficient: embeddings, n-grams, sentiment
- □ So far, 10 teams released their code open source

- $\square$  Two datasets, newest version downloaded  $\sim$ 450 times
- □ Features reported to be especially efficient: embeddings, n-grams, sentiment
- □ So far, 10 teams released their code open source
- □ Very high accuracy: 0.8 to 0.9
- Submission still open!

- $\square$  Two datasets, newest version downloaded  $\sim$ 450 times
- □ Features reported to be especially efficient: embeddings, n-grams, sentiment
- □ So far, 11 teams released their code open source
- □ Very high accuracy: 0.8 to 0.9
- Submission still open!

- $\square$  Two datasets, newest version downloaded  $\sim$ 450 times
- □ Features reported to be especially efficient: embeddings, n-grams, sentiment
- □ So far, 11 teams released their code open source
- □ Very high accuracy: 0.8 to 0.9
- Submission still open!

Evaluations on pan19-hyperpartisan-news-detection-by-article-test-dataset-2018-12-07

	User	Software \$	Run 4	Input run 🕴	accuracy v	
	lorelai-rory-gilmore	software1	2019-06-03-04-13-49	2019-06-02-19-39-14	0.8248407643312102	
	bertha-von-suttner	software1	2019-01-22-22-37-04	2019-01-22-11-53-33	0.821656050955414	
	vernon-fenwick	software6	2019-01-28-11-03-17	2019-01-28-10-38-04	0.8200636942675159	
	betty-brant-leeds	software2	2019-06-02-14-59-59	2019-06-02-09-54-39	0.7898089171974523	

- $\square$  Two datasets, newest version downloaded  $\sim$ 450 times
- □ Features reported to be especially efficient: embeddings, n-grams, sentiment
- □ So far, 11 teams released their code open source
- □ Very high accuracy: 0.8 to 0.9
- Submission still open!

Evaluations on pan19-hyperpartisan-news-detection-by-article-test-dataset-2018-12-07

<b>&gt;</b>	User	Software ♦	Run	÷	Input run	÷	accuracy	•
	lorelai-rory-gilmore	software1	2019-06-03-04-13-	49	2019-06-02-19-39-1	4	0.8248407643312102	2
	bertha-von-suttner	software1	2019-01-22-22-37-	04	2019-01-22-11-53-3	3	0.821656050955414	
	vernon-fenwick	software6	2019-01-28-11-03-	17	2019-01-28-10-38-0	)4	0.8200636942675159	9
			•••					
>	betty-brant-leeds	software2	2019-06-02-14-59-	59	2019-06-02-09-54-3	9	0.789808917197452	3

□ Challenge ahead: explainability