Clickbait Challenge 2017

Tim Gollub Martin Potthast Matthias Hagen Benno Stein

Bauhaus-Universität Weimar and Leipzig University www.clickbait-challenge.org

Outline

- I. Background
- II. Corpus Construction
- III. TIRA Shared Task

Background Clickbait Detection at ECIR 2016

Clickbait Detection

Martin Potthast, Sebastian Köpsel, Benno Stein, and Matthias Hagen

Bauhaus-Universität Weimar

[Clickbait Detection]

- □ Clickbait Corpus containing 3000 annotated tweets.
- □ Baseline Classifier.

Background Google DNI Fund



The Digital News Initiative (DNI) is a partnership between Google and publishers in Europe to support high-quality journalism through technology and innovation.



Crawling Twitter Tweets

Twitter Crawler



[Twitter Crawler]

- Cron job fetched and stored the tweets of 27 news publishers together with the respective target web pages every two hours.
- From November 2016 until June 2017, more than 500,000 tweets were stored together with the respective linked web pages.
- For main content extraction, we implemented content wrappers for each publisher.
- To avoid publisher and topic biases, we sampled a maximum of ten tweets per day per publisher.

Crowdsourcing of Clickbait Annotations

The most diverse place in America? It's not where you think: Link



not click baiting (0.0)

slightly click baiting (0.33)

considerably click baiting (0.66)

heavily click baiting (1.0)

- □ Amazon Mechanical Turk was used to crowdsource clickbait annotations.
- We tried different task designs which varied with respect to (1) the given instructions, (2) the scale on which clickbait is measured, (3) the number of tweets per HIT, and (4) highlighting of indicative words.
- We ended up using a 4-point scale, 10+2 tweets per HIT, and no word highlighting.

Annotation Quality Control



[Reviewing System]

- □ Each tweet got **annotated five times**.
- To assess the quality of annotation, each HIT contained two check instances from our previous corpus.
- A reviewing system allowed us to review HITs visually (including accepting/rejecting assignments).
- □ Still, it was a lot of work.
- □ In total, **40,000 tweets** were annotated.

Statistics - Mode



mode distribution by majority

Statistics - Mean



Statistics – Publisher Differences

abc	abcnews	bbcworld			
billboard	bleacherreport	breitbartnews			
business	businessinsider	buzzfeed			
cbsnews	cnn	complex			
espn	forbes	foxnews			
guardian	huffpost	independent			
	<u></u>				
indiatimes	mailonline	mashable			
nbcnews	nytimes	telegraph			
washingtonpost	wsj	yahoo			

TIRA Shared Task Participation

Clickbait Detection	
Task Training datasets Test datasets Measures Web	Develop a classifier that rates how click baiting a social media post is. 2 2
Participants Softwares Runs	20 24 256
	Join task View results



□ 100 registrations.

- □ **33 VMs** assigned.
- □ 24 software submissions from **13 teams**.
- □ 10 notebook submissions.

TIRA Shared Task

Evaluation Results

Team	MMSE	F1	Prec	Rec	Acc	Runtime
zingel	0.033	0.683	0.719	0.650	0.856	00:03:27
emperor	0.036	0.641	0.714	0.581	0.845	00:04:03
carpetshark	0.036	0.638	0.728	0.568	0.847	00:08:05
arowana	0.039	0.656	0.659	0.654	0.837	00:35:24
pineapplefish	0.041	0.631	0.642	0.621	0.827	00:54:28
whitebait	0.043	0.565	0.699	0.474	0.826	00:04:31
clickbait17-baseline	0.044	0.552	0.758	0.434	0.832	00:37:34
pike	0.045	0.604	0.711	0.524	0.836	01:04:42
tuna	0.046	0.654	0.654	0.653	0.835	06:14:10
torpedo	0.079	0.650	0.530	0.841	0.785	00:04:55
houndshark	0.099	0.023	0.779	0.012	0.764	00:26:38
dory	0.118	0.467	0.380	0.605	0.671	00:05:00
salmon	0.174	0.261	0.167	0.593	0.209	114:04:50
snapper	0.252	0.434	0.287	0.893	0.446	19:05:31

[TIRA results]